Policy Report

Promoting Health Care Transparency and Advocacy

The role of Surveillance Cultures in the Prevention of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Acute Care Facilities

Literature Review:

Over the past decade MRSA infections have become increasing more common¹ and are one of the most dreaded hospital acquired conditions. MRSA is the most frequent cause of the over 1.7 million hospital acquired infections (HAI) which are estimated by the CDC to occur annually.² In addition, each year HAI causes 99,000 deaths and costs the US economy approximately 35 to 45 billion dollars.³ Each HAI adds approximately 43,000 dollars to a patient's medical bill.⁴ Hospital acquired MRSA has been estimated to cause 17,000 deaths annually.⁵ These infections should not be viewed as inevitable. A paradigm shift in our thinking is needed as illustrated by a statement from Thomas R. Frieden, Director of the CDC, "Evidence indicates that, with focused efforts, these once formidable infections can be greatly reduced in number, leading to a new normal for healthcare-associated infections as rare, unacceptable events".⁶

Various MRSA prevention protocols have been developed. At some facilities, prevention is centered on universal precautions, with the treating of all patients the same. The rational given for this, is a comparison to protocols used in the initial management of AIDS and the high prevalence of MRSA in the environment.

Comparison of the MRSA epidemic to that of AIDS is not valid because major differences exist. In the AIDS epidemic, the recommendation to not screen and identify patients along with the use of universal precautions was made because initially there was no treatment available for the disease and there was significant discrimination in our society for those who were identified as having the affliction. AIDS, as compared to MRSA, was not nearly as contagious, with virtually no spread to the general public without intimate contact with the carrier.

A treatment for AIDS now exists and the Secretary of the Dept of HHS currently recommends that all persons between the ages of 13 and 64 be tested as part of their routine medical care.⁷ Although universal AIDS testing is recommended once in a lifetime, as opposed to every hospital admission, the MRSA conversion rate in the general population is much higher than that for AIDS.



November 22, 2010

By Kevin T Kavanagh

Abstract:

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is the most common hospital acquired infection causing approximately 17,000 patient deaths annually. Despite the disease reaching epidemic proportions in the United States a coordinated effort to address the problem has not been undertaken. Numerous studies have produced significant reduction in MRSA infections using a combination of interventions. Carrier identification using screening tests is key to allow environmental decontamination. identification of contacts and the prevention of over antibiotic usage along with the risks of fostering antibiotic resistance. Whether targeted or universal screening is adopted depends upon the carrier rate of MRSA in the general population. In the United States the carrier rate appears to be between 3% and 10% which places all individuals admitted to the hospital in a high-risk

Health Watch USA

Promoting Healthcare Transparency & Patient Advocacy

Continued On Next Page

Some healthcare facilities identify and focus in on high-risk groups. Barrier precautions are highly effective in preventing MRSA spread when used on high-risk patients.⁸ Surveillance testing that has been targeted on high risk populations and healthcare workers has also been shown to reduce the incidence of MRSA infection.⁹

However, data is emerging that in the US we are all high risk. The rate of MRSA colonization in healthcare workers has been reported to be between 4% and 6.6% ^{10,11} and the incidence of MRSA positive patients admitted to an acute care hospital varies between 3% to 10%. With reports of carrier rates of 3% in the US population over the age of Six-ty¹², 3.2% of patients admitted to an orthopedic trauma unit¹³, 3.6% of all patients admitted to a surgical ward¹⁴, 6.6% of patients admitted to a pediatric ICU¹⁵ and 10% of all patients admitted to the Lexington, KY Veterans Administration Hospital.¹⁶

Another approach is the use of surveillance testing on all patients admitted to a facility. For those with scheduled surgery, this should be done on an outpatient basis. Surveillance MRSA testing has been found to be cost effective¹⁷ with the rapid polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test costing a facility under \$30 and a standard culture under \$10.⁵ MRSA screening can be used both on the patient and to aggressively identify community contacts for those that are found to be positive. This latter approach was one of the key interventions that the country of Norway followed to bring their MRSA epidemic under control. They tracked each individual case of MRSA and identified and tested the carrier's contacts.¹⁸

The vast majority of research studies and reports have found that protocols which use universal MRSA screening of all admitted patients are effective in preventing MRSA infections. Community-associated strains of MRSA (CA-MRSA) have become a major cause of hospital acquired infections.¹⁹ The CA-MRSA strains are adding to the overall presence of MRSA in the hospitals and outpatients coming into the hospital are fast becoming a significant reservoir for HAIs. Other studies which support universal screening for MRSA are listed below:

2007 Feb: The Pittsburg Veterans Administration Hospital reported a 70% decrease of MRSA using universal surveillance testing, contact precautions and increased hand hygiene.⁴

2008 Mar: A large study from Northwest University²⁰ which Pol found that universal surveillance for MRSA on all admitted patients was associated with a large reduction in MRSA infections. Total MRSA infections in the three studied facilities decreased by 69.6% with universal surveillance.

2009 Feb: Shukla, et al.,¹³ found that the MRSA carrier state produced an increased risk of surgical site infections (8.8% of positive patients). That identification of these patients allowed the preoperative use of glycopeptide antibiotics and increased vigilance for wound infection post-operatively.

2009 Feb: Dr. Walter Pofahl reported that MRSA preoperative screening resulted in a significantly decreased infection rate in patients who underwent orthopedic surgery.²¹

2010 May: Data from the National US Veterans Administration's MRSA Reduction Initiative became available though a Congressional inquiry.²² Using an intervention "Bundle" which included universal surveillance testing, contact precautions, and increased hand hygiene, MRSA infection rates at the nation's VA Hospitals fell 76% in the ICU (from 1.62/1,000 bed days of care to 0.39/1,000 bed days) and 28% in non-ICU patients (from 0.46/1,000 bed days of care to 0.33/1,000 bed days of care).

Despite the demonstration of significant reduction of surgical MRSA infections with protocols that use universal surveillance testing, there is resistance in the medical community on adopting this intervention. Many cite the work of

Health Watch USA is a non-profit nonpartisan 501(C)3 organization which is dedicated for the promotion of high quality, affordable and accessible healthcare.

For More information visit our website at www.healthwatchusa.org Email : contact-mail@healthwatchusa.org

Dr. Stephan Harbarth. In 2006, Harbarth, et al., reported that rapid screening and pre-emptive contact isolation substantially reduced MRSA cross-infections in the medical but not the surgical ICU.²³

In 2008, Harbarth, et al., reported a widely publicized study showing that universal surveillance testing did not "reduce nosocomial MRSA infection in a surgical department...".²⁴ Of the 386 detected MRSA carriers who underwent surgery, 120 were emergency operations and culture results were not present before surgery. "Especially in abdominal surgery, surgeons were reluctant to add vancomycin to the standard prophylactic regimen." In the remaining 266 patients who were culture positive 151 patients did not receive prophylaxis effective against MRSA. The study did find that no patients developed a MRSA infection "who were found to be MRSA positive during their outpatient visit and received adequate prophylaxis and decolonization." In addition, the study reported that 693 (6.4%) in the intervention group were MRSA carriers (previously known group and the detected with screening group) and that these patients made up 43% of all MRSA infections (p < 0.0001 chi square).

It is not enough to just identify patients, effective intervention must then be implemented. For elective admissions, MRSA surveillance testing should be performed on an outpatient basis since MRSA is highly infectious and by the time screening results are obtained as high as 45% of patients may have contaminated their environment.²⁵ Hardy, et al.,¹⁴ reported a 3.6% carrier rate in admitted patients to a surgical ward and another 2.2% acquired MRSA after admission. Positive patients were treated with isolation and decolonization. Rapid Screening (PCR) for MRSA was found to be more effective than the culture method in the prevention of MRSA acquisition. Thus, patients need to be identified as quickly as possible and once identified, the environment of the patient, whether in the hospital or at home, needs to be decontaminated and contacts dealt with appropriately.

Identifying and treating only those who have MRSA is also important in the prevention of bacterial antibiotic resistance. Another one of Norway's interventions in the prevention of antibiotic resistance was the limitation of antibiotic usage.¹⁸ Institutions who routinely treat all of their patients' naris with Mupirocin (Bactoban) are at risk of developing resistant strains to this antibiotic that are being reported in the literature.^{26,27}

The use of pre-operative antibiotics on all patients undergoing surgery is performed in some institutions to lower post-operative infection rates. However, implementation of this protocol comes at a risk of producing bacterial resistance; an example of short term good results for long-term poor ones. Super resistant strains such as USA600 are being reported which have a mortality rate for bloodstream infections of 60%.²⁸ The high mortality rate has been attributed to Vancomycin tolerance with MRSA strains having the hVISA phenotype.²⁹

Prophylactic antibiotics should not be given to all patients but reserved for those who are immunocompromised or undergoing surgeries that have an increased or significant risk of infection. For example, for outpatient surgery performed in the head and neck region only open jaw fractures and diverticulectomy of the hypopharynx or esophagus are included in CMS's quality measures on pre-operative antibiotic usage.³⁰ Unless an active infection is discovered at the time of surgery, prophylactic antibiotics should be promptly discontinued after surgery. However, all surgical patients should be washed with an antiseptic, such as topical chlorhexidine (Hibiclens), at least 24 hours before surgery and preferably for three days.

Conclusion:

Despite abundant evidence which can be used to chart a successful course in addressing the MRSA epidemic, the United State healthcare system lacks uniform national guidelines for controlling the spread of MRSA. The CDC has been criticized by the OIG in finding that the CDC has "almost 1200 recommended practices, but activities across HHS to promote implementation of these practices are not guided by a prioritization of the practices."

Health Watch USA is a non-profit nonpartisan 501(C)3 organization which is dedicated for the promotion of high quality, affordable and accessible healthcare.

For More information visit our website at www.healthwatchusa.org Email : contact-mail@healthwatchusa.org

MRSA carrier identification is one of the keys to addressing this epidemic. It is known that MRSA carriers are at a higher risk for infection and can rapidly spread MRSA to their environment. Isolation of all admitted patients is not practical and is cost prohibitive. In addition, the universal use of antibiotics will foster antibiotic resistance. Whether universal or targeted surveillance testing should be performed, depends upon the carrier rate in the general population. In areas of the United States where data is available, this rate has been above 3% which argues strongly for universal screening.

As a surgeon who has undergone multiple cervical fusions, I insisted on pre-operative screening of MRSA before my procedure. I cannot in good conscience make treatment recommendations for patients which are different from that which I recommend for myself.

References:

1. Nutty C and Warye K. Short-term 'bug' rampant. Maintaining infection-control spending imperative, despite recession. Mod Healthc. 2009 Jun 8;39(23):23. PMID: 19771626 <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19771626</u>

2. Estimates of Healthcare-Associated Infections. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. March 12, 2010 http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dhqp/hai.html

3. Scott, RD The Direct Medical Costs of Healthcare-Associated Infections in U.S. Hospitals and the Benefits of Prevention. CDC March 2009 <u>http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dhqp/pdf/Scott_CostPaper.pdf</u>

4. Lucado J, Paez K, Andrews R and Steiner C. Adult Hosptiail Stays with Infections Due to Medical Care, 2007. Statistical Brief #94 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project AHRQ Aug 2010 <u>http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb94.pdf</u>

5. Loviglio J. Doctors say superbug can be controlled. The Washington Post. Associated Press. Feb. 6, 2007. http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/02/06/AR2007020601900 pf.html

6. Frieden TR, Maximizing Infection Prevention in the Next Decade: Defining the Unacceptable. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010 Oct;31:S1–S3. <u>http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/full/10.1086/656002</u>

7. Statement from Secretary Sebelius on National HIV Testing Day. U.S. Department of Health & Human. Friday, June 25, 2010 <u>http://www.hhs.gov/news/press/2010pres/06/20100625a.html</u>

8. Safdar N, Marx J, Meyer NA, Maki DG. Effectiveness of preemptive barrier precautions in controlling nosocomial colonization and infection by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a burn unit. Am J Infect Control. 2006 Oct;34(8):476-83.
PMID: 17015152 <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17015152</u>

9. Rodríguez-Baño J, García L, Ramírez E, Lupión C, Muniain MA, Velasco C, Gálvez J, del Toro MD, Millán AB, López-Cerero L, Pascual A. Long-term control of endemic hospital-wide methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA): the impact of targeted active surveillance for MRSA in patients and healthcare workers. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010 Aug;31 (8):786-95. PMID: 20524852 <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/pubmed/20524852</u>

10. Elie-Turenne MC, Fernandes H, Mediavilla JR, Rosenthal M, Mathema B, Singh A, Cohen TR, Pawar KA, Shahidi H, Kreiswirth BN, Deitch EA. Prevalence and characteristics of Staphylococcus aureus colonization among healthcare professionals in an urban teaching hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010 Jun;31(6):574-80. PMID: 20426580 <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20426580</u>

11. Emma Hitt, PhD MRSA Found in 4% of Healthcare Workers; Most Are Healthcare-Related Strains Medscape Medical News April 6,2010 <u>http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/719841</u>

Health Watch USA is a non-profit nonpartisan 501(C)3 organization which is dedicated for the promotion of high quality, affordable and accessible healthcare.

For More information visit our website at www.healthwatchusa.org Email : contact-mail@healthwatchusa.org

12. Trotter J. New Mexico MRSA Collaborative. New Mexico MRSA Collaborative Celebrates the Conclusion of Year-long Efforts. July 17, 2009. <u>http://www.healthwatchusa.org/downloads/200907-NM-MRSA_Press_release.pdf</u>

13. Shukla S, Nixon M, Acharya M, Korim MT, Pandey R. Incidence of MRSA surgical-site infection in MRSA carriers in an orthopaedic trauma unit. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2009 Feb;91(2):225-8. PMID: 19190058 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19190058

14. Hardy K, Price C, Szczepura A, Gossain S, Davies R, Stallard N, Shabir S, McMurray C, Bradbury A, Hawkey PM. Reduction in the rate of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus acquisition in surgical wards by rapid screening for colonization: a prospective, cross-over study. in Microbiol Infect. 2010 Apr;16(4):333-9. Epub 2009 Jul 20. PMID: 19622077 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19622077

15. Milstone AM, Carroll KC, Ross T, K. Shangraw A, and Perl TM. Community-associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Strains in Pediatric Intensive Care Unit. Emerging Infectious Disease. Volume 16, Number 4–April 2010 http://www.cdc.gov/eid/content/16/4/647.htm

16. Evans M. Testimony given to the Kentucky State Senate Health and Welfare Committee meeting on March 6, 2008. http://www.cdc.gov/eid/content/16/4/647.htm

17. Lee BY, Bailey RR, Smith KJ, Muder RR, Strotmeyer ES, Lewis GJ, Ufberg PJ, Song Y, Harrison LH. Universal methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) surveillance for adults at hospital admission: an economic model and analysis. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010 Jun;31(6):598-606. PMID: 20402588 <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20402588</u>

18. Associated Press. When Drugs Stop Working-Norway's Answer. CBS News. OSLO, Norway Dec. 23, 2009. http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2009/12/23/tech/main6014559.shtml

19. Klein E, Smith DL, Laxminarayan R. Community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in outpatients, United States, 1999-2006. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009 Dec;15(12):1925-30.PMID: 19961671 http://www.cdc.gov/eid/content/15/12/1925.htm

20. Robicsek A, Beaumont JL, Paule SM, Hacek DM, Thomson RB Jr, Kaul KL, King P, Peterson LR. Universal surveillance for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in 3 affiliated hospitals. Ann Intern Med. 2008 Mar 18;148(6):409-18. PMID: 18347349. <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez/18347349</u>

21. McNamara D. MRSA Screening Used to Decrease SSIs. Surgery News. American College of Surgeons. 2009(5) p1-2. http://www.facs.org/surgerynews/0209.pdf

22. Results of the VA National MRSA Initiative. Congressional Inquiry. May 18, 2010 <u>http://www.healthwatchusa.org/mrsa/pdf_downloads/VAH-MRSA-2010-Results.pdf</u>

23. Harbarth S, Masuet-Aumatell C, Schrenzel J, Francois P, Akakpo C, Renzi G, Pugin J, Ricou B, Pittet D. Evaluation of rapid screening and pre-emptive contact isolation for detecting and controlling methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in critical care: an interventional cohort study. Crit Care. 2006 Feb;10(1):R25. PMID: 16469125 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16469125

24. Harbarth S, Fankhauser C, Schrenzel J, Christenson J, Gervaz P, Bandiera-Clerc C, Renzi G, Vernaz N, Sax H, Pittet D. Universal screening for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus at hospital admission and nosocomial infection in surgical patients. JAMA. 2008 Mar 12;299(10):1149-57. <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18334690</u>

25. Chang S, Sethi AK, Stiefel U, Cadnum JL, Donskey CJ. Occurrence of skin and environmental contamination with methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus before results of polymerase chain reaction at hospital admission become available. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010 Jun;31(6):607-12. PMID: 20397963 <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20397963</u>

26. Hogue JS, Buttke P, Braun LE, Fairchok MP. Mupirocin resistance related to increasing mupirocin use in clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a pediatric population. J Clin Microbiol. 2010 Jul;48(7):2599-600. Epub 2010 Apr 26. PMID: 20421433 <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/pubmed/20421433</u>

27. Glasser JS, Guymon CH, Mende K, Wolf SE, Hospenthal DR, Murray CK. Activity of topical antimicrobial agents against multidrug-resistant bacteria recovered from burn patients. Burns. 2010 Jun 7. [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 20542641 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/pubmed/20542641

28. Moore CL, Osaki-Kiyan P, Perri M, Donabedian S, Haque NZ, Chen A, Zervos MJ. USA600 (ST45) methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infections in urban Detroit. J Clin Microbiol. 2010 Jun;48(6):2307-10. Epub 2010 Mar 24. PMID: 20335422 Free Text <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2884493/</u>

29. Klaudia Kosowska-Shick, Lois M. Ednie, Pamela McGhee, Kathy Smith, Cynthia D. Todd, Amanda Wehler, and Peter C. Appelbaum Incidence and Characteristics of Vancomycin Nonsusceptible Strains of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus at Hershey Medical Center Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008 December; 52(12): 4510–4513. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2592881/

30. Specifications Manual for Hospital Outpatient Department Quality Measures. Encounter Dates 7/1/10 to 12/31/20. Centers for Medicaid and Medicare.

http://www.qualitynet.org/dcs/ContentServer?pagename=QnetPublic/Page/SpecsManualLicense

31. Kanof M. Heath-Care-Associated Infections in Hospitals. GAO-09-516T March 18, 2009 http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d09516t.pdf

Contact Information

Kevin T. Kavanagh, MD, MS Executive Committee Chairman Health Watch USA 3396 Woodhaven Dr Somerset, KY 42503

www.healthwatchusa.org

Permission to print, post on the web or distribute this information is granted provided that the author and his affiliations are cited and no information in this document is changed or modified.

Authors are available for interviews by contacting Health Watch USA Kevin T. Kavanagh, MD is a Retired Somerset Based Physician and Chairman of the Executive Committee of Health Watch USA.

This Policy Report is an edited version of an article published in the World Articles of Ear, Nose and Throat. <u>www.waent.org</u>.

Health Watch USA is a non-profit nonpartisan 501(C)3 organization which is dedicated for the promotion of high quality, affordable and accessible healthcare.

For More information visit our website at <u>www.healthwatchusa.org</u> Email Address: <u>contact-mail@healthwatchusa.org</u>